

Status Report of ATP Competitions

Bettijoyce Lide
ATP Competitions Manager
Office of the Director, ATP
bettijoyce.lide@nist.gov | (301) 975-2218

ATP Advisory Committee Meeting
May 13, 2004

Outline

- Wrap-up of Fiscal Year 2002 Competition
- Status of Fiscal Year 2004 Competition

Fiscal Year 2002 Competition Wrap-up

FY 2002 Competition

- Competition announced in *Federal Register* notice dated April 17, 2002
- Three Proposers' Conferences (Gaithersburg, MD; San Jose, CA; and Chicago, IL) attended by approximately 800 people
- Three batches with due dates of June 10, 2002; July 31, 2002; and September 30, 2002


FY2002 Competition

- Concluded
 - 27 additional awards (Batch 3) announced May 3, 2004

FY 2002 Competition Results

	Batch 1 October 2002 and May 2003	Batch 2 July 2003	Batch 3 September 2003 and May 2004	
Number of Proposals Received	472	136	467	
Number of Participants in Submitted Proposals	529	167	524	
Total ATP Funding Requested	\$917 M	\$269 M	\$1,039 M	
Total Industry Cost Share	\$818 M	\$166 M	\$736 M	
Number of Awards	47	16	44	27
Joint Ventures	7	3	9	4
Single Applicants	40	13	35	23
Number of Participants in Awarded Projects	15	23	74	35
Total ATP Funds Committed	\$115 M	\$36 M	\$105 M	\$75 M
Total Industry Cost Sharing	\$98 M	\$22 M	\$75 M	\$58 M
Award Size for Projects – Range	\$1.5M - \$4.8 M	\$1.9 - \$4.4 M	\$695K - \$6.4 M	\$600K - \$17.6 M
Award Size for Projects – Average	\$2.4 M	\$2.2 M	\$2.4 M	\$2.8 M

2002 Competition Batch 3 (May 2004) 27 ATP Awards by Technology Area (As a Percent of \$75 M Awarded)



2002 ATP Competition Batch 3 (2nd Announcement May 2004)

2002 Competition Batch 3 (May 2004)

■ Number of Awards	27
■ Joint Ventures	4
■ Single Applicants	23
■ Number of Participants in Awarded Projects	34
■ Total ATP Funds Committed	\$75 M
■ Total Industry Cost Share	\$58 M
■ Award Size for Projects – Range	\$600 K - \$17.6 M
■ Award Size for Projects – Average	\$2.8 M

Fiscal Year 2004 Competition Status

FY 2004 Competition Announcement

- **Published in the Federal Register on February 11, 2004**
- **Listed on www.grants.gov (Website for Announcement of Federal Funding Opportunities)**
- **Announced on the ATP Website**

ATP 2004 Proposers' Conferences

- March 1, 2004
 - Atlanta (115 attendees)
 - Dallas (115 attendees)
- March 3, 2004
 - Boston (240 attendees)
 - Seattle (125 attendees)
- March 5, 2004
 - Chicago (245 attendees)
 - Los Angeles (216 attendees)
- March 9, 2004
 - Gaithersburg (445 attendees)
- March 3, 2004
 - Honolulu (40 attendees)
- March 17, 2004
 - Philadelphia (110 attendees)
- March 26, 2004
 - Baton Rouge (80 attendees)

Slides and streaming video available on ATP web site.

FY 2004 Competition Deadline

- April 14, 2004
 - 3:00 PM Eastern for electronic submission
 - 3:00 PM Eastern for hand-carried by proposer
- April 14, 2004
 - Postmarked anytime that day for carrier-delivered
 - Received by April 28, 2004

FY 2004 Competition Response

- Total of 869 proposals received
- Approximately 50% through Electronic Submission System (ESS)

FY 2004 Competition

- Review in progress
- Proposers notified of Gate 1 results no later than early June
- Dates for Gate 2 decisions and orals will be publicly announced
- Awards will be made by end of September

FY 2004 Competition Highlights

- For our customers
 - **Updated Proposal Preparation Kit**
 - Also available on CD-ROM
 - **Public calendar available for key decision dates**
 - **In Gate 1 debriefing, feedback on abbreviated business information**
- For NIST laboratories and ATP staff
 - **Predetermined reviewer pools for technical reviews**
 - **Predetermined periods for technical reviews**
 - **ATP-NIST liaison teams**
 - **Improved and just-in-time training**

Thanks to ...

- ATP staff serving on Source Evaluation Boards (SEBs), reviewing, and helping in myriad other ways
- NIST laboratory scientists serving on SEBs and reviewing
- Colleagues from other Federal Agencies serving on SEBs and reviewing
- NIST Grants Office for administrative support
- All others involved in assuring each of the 869 proposals receives a thorough, quality evaluation